Y-liteff

1.9 OCT 1974

MENORANDUM FOR: USIB Principals

SUBJECT

: Revision of the DCID 1/2 Attachment

- 1. The current Attachment to DCID 1/2, "U.3, Foreign Intelligence Priorities," was issued as guidance for the 1975-1979 period. The DCID provides for an annual review of the topics and priorities set forth in the Attachment and issuance of the revised version each January.
- 2. Decision is needed at this time as to whether ECID 1/2 should be continued because, if so, a task group should be formed to complete the revision by January.
- 3. I am personally uncertain as to whether DCID 1/2 should be retained as one of the basic guidance documents of the Intelligence Community in view of the emphasis currently being put on the Key Intelligence Questions and the response to them. The KIQS apply to the current year and the DCIL 1/2 Attachment applies to the planning period one to five years in the future, but I wonder whether the existence of both documents may cause some confusion. The KIQs focus on the intelligence topics of highest current national interest while the DCID 1/2 Attachment is much more comprehensive in nature, identifying high, medium and low priority topics by individual country and including consideration of departmental and operational intelligence needs as well as national level requirements.
- 4. To assist me in determination of the future of DCID 1/2, I request that each of you provide me by 1 Hove me answers to the following questions:

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/00/28 TIA-RDP80M01082A000900160007-3

- a. Do you recommend continuation of the annual preparation of an Attachment to DCID 1/27
- b. Is there a productive interrelationship in your program between DCID 1/2 topics and priorities and the Key Intelligence Questions?
- c. Of what value has the guidance provided in the Attachment to DCID 1/2 been to your planning and programming?
- d. If BCID 1/2 is discontinued, would you consider it necessary to develop an internal topics/priorities document of somewhat the same nature as guidance for your organization?
- e. If DCID 1/2 and its Attachment are to be retained, do you have any specific proposals as to how its format and organisation should be changed?

/s/ W. E. Colby

W. E. Colby

DCI/IC/CS/S/
Distribution:

1 - Each Addressee

1 - DCI

1 - DDCI

1 - ER

1 - IC Registry

1 - CS subj

25X1

I - CS chrono

1118 5 3 湯 .

2

ES

ET 614

Approved For Release 2004/10/28: CIA-RDP80M01082A000900160007-3 THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20505

19 October 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR: USIB Principals

SUBJECT : Revision of the DCID 1/2 Attachment

1. The current Attachment to DCID 1/2, "U.S. Foreign Intelligence Priorities," was issued as guidance for the 1975-1979 period. The DCID provides for an annual review of the topics and priorities set forth in the Attachment and issuance of the revised version each January.

- 2. Decision is needed at this time as to whether DCID 1/2 should be continued because, if so, a task group should be formed to complete the revision by January.
- 3. I am personally uncertain as to whether DCID 1/2 should be retained as one of the basic guidance documents of the Intelligence Community in view of the emphasis currently being put on the Key Intelligence Questions and the response to them. The KIQs apply to the current year and the DCID 1/2 Attachment applies to the planning period one to five years in the future, but I wonder whether the existence of both documents may cause some confusion. The KIQs focus on the intelligence topics of highest current national interest, while the DCID 1/2 Attachment is much more comprehensive in nature, identifying high, medium and low priority topics by individual country and including consideration of departmental and operational intelligence needs as well as national level requirements.
- 4. To assist me in determination of the future of DCID 1/2, I request that each of you provide me by 1 November answers to the following questions:

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/10/28 : CA-RDP80M01082A000900160007-3

- a. Do you recommend continuation of the annual preparation of an Attachment to DCID 1/2?
- b. Is there a productive interrelationship in your program between DCID 1/2 topics and priorities and the Key Intelligence Questions?
- c. Of what value has the guidance provided in the Attachment to DCID 1/2 been to your planning and programming?
- d. If DCID 1/2 is discontinued, would you consider it necessary to develop an internal topics/priorities document of somewhat the same nature as guidance for your organization?
- e. If DCID 1/2 and its Attachment are to be retained, do you have any specific proposals as to how its format and organization should be changed?

W. E. Colby

	SENDER WILL CH	ECK CLASSIFICATIO	N TOP AND	BOTTOM
	UNCLASSIFIE	CONFIDE	ENTIAL	SECRET
	OFFI	CIAL ROUTIN	G SLIP	W
то	NAME AN	ID ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	Dr Clarke			\ju-
2	General Wilson		10/18	W/xx
3				· ·
4				
5				
6				
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREP	ARE REPLY
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECO	MMENDATION
	COMMENT	FILE	RETUI	₹N
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNA	TURE
>				
Ren	he would have early in Octol The attached r issue and prop	memorandum spe poses that prio	cision or cifically r to reac	n DCID 1/2" raises the
Ren	Mr. Colby tole he would have early in Octol The attached rissue and properties of the objection he of	to "reach a de ber. memorandum spe	cision or cifically r to reac from the	n DCID 1/2" raises the
Ren	Mr. Colby tole he would have early in Octol The attached rissue and properties on the objection he objection when the are already revision under go that route	to "reach a deber." memorandum specioses that prior ptain comments: five questions y overdue on gerway if the decres so I suggest the brought to the	cision or cifically r to read from the tting the ision is ne attach	raises the hing a USIB DCID 1/2 made to ed
Ren	Mr. Colby tole he would have early in Octol The attached rissue and properties on the organization of the are already revision under go that route memorandum be Colby as soon	to "reach a deber." memorandum specioses that prior thain comments five questions of verdue on gerway if the decreas I suggest the brought to the as possible.	cision or cifically r to read from the tting the ision is ne attach attention seember	raises the hing a USIB DCID 1/2 made to ed
Ren	Mr. Colby tole he would have early in Octol The attached rissue and properties on the organization of the are already revision under go that route memorandum be Colby as soon	to "reach a deber." memorandum specioses that prior that comments five questions overdue on gerway if the decress I suggest the brought to the as possible.	cision or cifically r to read from the tting the ision is ne attach attention seember	raises the hing a USIB DCID 1/2 made to ed
Ren	Mr. Colby tole he would have early in Octol The attached rissue and properties on the organization of the are already revision under go that route memorandum be Colby as soon	to "reach a deber." memorandum specioses that prior thain comments five questions of verdue on gerway if the decreas I suggest the brought to the as possible.	cision or cifically r to read from the tting the ision is ne attach attention seember	raises the ching a USIB DCID 1/2 made to ed on of Mr.

25X1